AFLONEXT FINAL CONFERENCE

Noise Control on Flap Side Edge



2ND GENERATION ACTIVE WING ILA Berlin 2018 Presenter: Johann Reichenberger (Airbus Defence & Space GmbH)

Coordinator of AFLoNext: Martin Wahlich (Airbus Operations GmbH)



CONTENT

- > Review
- Motivation
- > Objectives
- Flap Side Edge Wind Tunnel Modell
- > Wind Tunnel Test
- Porous Flap Side Edge Design & Manufacturing
- Integration on a A320
- Flight Test
- Results
- Conclusion











Aeroacoustic Wind Tunnel Cepra 19, 1:11 scaled 3D A320 wing





Aerodynamically no impact





Flap side edge noise reduction 10 – 15 dB



Foam



Brushes



Rectangular Fence



Elliptical Fence



MotivationSWING, RAIN, SILENCER, FREQUENZ, OPENAIRFSE Noise reduction studies on
generic models (solid bodies).
3D A320 wingImage: Compare of the second studies of the second studies

1.4 2.0 2.5 Horizontal-Coordinate x / [m]

Original A320 Flap

0 Flap AFLONEXT

Vortex Generator

Side Edge

Open question: How do this mechanisms contribute to the overall noise level?





- Final acoustic check of porous FSE on a original A320 flap by wind tunnel tests
- > Design, manufacture & integration of porous FSE for FT
- Flight Test



FSE – Wind Tunnel Modell





Effect of :

- Foam
- Fish mouth
- Clean side edge
- Vortex generator
- Baseline
- Cavities
- Combinations



11 Configurations





Aerodynamik Check on Baseline:

- Tripping on the pressure side and suction side of the flap to fix the transition point.



- The check were carried out for v = 50 m/s and AOA = 30°, 25°, 20°, 15°
 - AOA = 25° and > 25° the flow is detached. \rightarrow Results: - AOA = 20° the flow in the range of the FSE is relativ killed.









WTT

Side edge noise was localized and quantified by 2 microphone arrays:



Integration of Source Power / 1/3-oct. Band \rightarrow 1/3-oct. Spectra



Effect of foam on clean leading edge





Conf. 1 Baseline



Conf. 2 80mm foam



Conf. 3 40mm foam













Airworthiness Tests: static, dynamic, fatigue, temperature, icing















Flight Test



Flight Test Aircraft Configuration and Flight Procedure

- A320-232 CEO with V2527 A5 engines and LIP
- Under wing vortex generators installed
- Flap set with outboard porous flap side edge
 - Conf A: no fish mouth filler
 - Conf B: with fish mouth filler

- Isolated assessment of PFSE effect on <u>airframe</u> noise
- Approaches at 135 and 170 kts
- Engines in flight idle mode
- Landing gear UP
- High lift systems
 Flaps 3: Slat 22°/ Flaps 20°
 <u>Flaps FULL: Slat 27° / Flaps 40</u>°



Acoustic Metric and Results

Sound Exposure Level $SEL = \frac{10 * \log_{10} * \frac{1}{T_0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{P_A(t)}{P_0}\right)^2 dt$

Correction for height and velocity (acc. to ICAO Annex 16)

$$\Delta L_{H} = 12.5 * log_{10} \left({}^{D}/_{D_{Ref}} \right) \text{ and}$$
$$\Delta L_{V} = 10.0 * log_{10} \left({}^{V}/_{V_{Ref}} \right)$$

Flight Test

additional correction for velocity dependent noise generation (p² ~ v⁵) $\Delta L = 50.0 * log_{10} \left(\frac{V}{V_{Ref}} \right)$ $SEL_{korr} = L_{A,max} + \frac{t_{10}}{2}$ $+12.5 * log_{10} \left(\frac{D}{D_{Ref}} \right)$ $-40.0 * log_{10} \left(\frac{V}{V_{Ref}} \right)$

Final SEL data is average over all valid flyovers





- Significant noise reduction on airframe level of approx. -2 dB
- For higher speed slightly increased noise reduction



Flight test results:

1-2 dB noise reduction on aircraft level

Next steps:

- Iong term test (fatigue) on a A320 (Lufthansa)
- retrofit





This project has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013, under grant agreement n° 604013, AFLoNext project.



